

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/849,635	SHEMTOV, SAMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AARON DUNWOODY	3679	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) AARON DUNWOODY.

(3) David McConoughay, reg. no. 25,786.

(2) Howard C. Miskin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

38,51

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative and Examiner agreed to amend and add new claims to overcome the prior art rejections.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)